# **Slow Gating of Gap Junction Channels and Calmodulin**

# **C. Peracchia, X.G. Wang, L.L. Peracchia**

Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester, School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY 14642-8711, USA

Received: 19 April 2000/Revised: 11 August 2000

**Abstract.** Certain COOH-terminus mutants of connexin32 (Cx32) were previously shown to form channels with unusual transjuctional voltage  $(V_j)$  sensitivity when tested heterotypically in oocytes against Cx32 wild type. Junctional conductance  $(G_j)$  slowly increased by severalfold or decreases to nearly zero with  $V_i$  positive or negative, respectively, at mutant side, and  $V_i$  positive at mutant side reversed  $CO_2$ -induced uncoupling. This suggested that the  $CO_2$ -sensitive gate might be a  $V_j$ -sensitive slow gate. Based on previous data for calmodulin (CaM) involvement in gap junction function, we have hypothesized that the slow gate could be a CaM-like pore plugging molecule (cork gating model). This study describes a similar behavior in heterotypic channels between Cx32 and each of four new Cx32 mutants modified in cytoplasmic-loop and/or COOH-terminus residues. The mutants are: ML/NN+3R/N, 3R/N, ML/NN and ML/EE; in these mutants, N or E replace M105 and L106, and N replace R215, R219 and R220. This study also reports that inhibition of CaM expression strongly reduces  $V_i$ and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivities of two of the most effective mutants, suggesting a CaM role in slow and chemical gating.

**Key words:** Cell communication — Connexins — Gap junctions — Calmodulin — Channel gating —  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 

# **Introduction**

Gap junctions are cell contact domains that contain channels for direct cell-to-cell exchange of small cytosolic molecules. A gap junction channel is made of two hexameric hemichannels (connexons) composed of connexin proteins. Connexins are intramembrane proteins with

four transmembrane domains, two extracellular loops, a cytoplasmic loop (CL), a short  $NH<sub>2</sub>$ -terminus (NT) and a COOH-terminus (CT) of variable length (reviewed in Peracchia, Lazrak & Peracchia, 1994).

Transjuctional voltage  $(V_j)$  gradients and increased  $[Ca^{2+}]$ *i* or  $[H^+]$ *i* are known to reduce channel permeability (reviewed in Loewenstein, 1990; Bruzzone, White & Paul, 1996; Peracchia & Wang, 1997), but the molecular mechanisms of channel gating are still largely unknown.  $V_i$  and chemical gates are believed to be two distinct gates; the former closes the channel rapidly but incompletely and the latter closes the channel slowly but completely (Bukauskas & Peracchia, 1997).

Recently, we have studied connexin mutants and chimeras, expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, to identify domains of the rat connexin32 (Cx32) that participate in  $CO_2$ -induced (low  $pH_i$ ) channel gating. Cx32 is expressed in liver, pancreas, kidney, thyroid, and mammary gland, as well as in various cells of the nervous system such as neurons, oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells (reviewed in Bruzzone et al., 1996). Several Cx32 mutations are relevant to the pathogenesis of the X-linked Charcot Marie Tooth demyelinating disease (CMTX; Bergoffen et al., 1993; Ionasescu, Searby & Baritt, 1994; Ressott et al., 1998). CL and the initial segment of CT  $(CT<sub>1</sub>)$  appear to be relevant to the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gating sensitivity of Cx32 (Wang et al., 1996; Wang & Peracchia, 1996; Wang & Peracchia, 1997; Wang & Peracchia, 1998*a*), whereas NT (Wang et al., 1996) and the rest of CT (84%, Wang & Peracchia, 1997; Wang & Peracchia, 1998*a*) do not seem to play a role. Based on these data we have proposed that the membrane proximal domain of CT  $(CT<sub>1</sub>)$ , a basic and partly hydrophobic domain, may interact electrostatically and hydrophobically with acidic and hydrophobic residues of the initial CL domain  $CL<sub>1</sub>$ ), and may inhibit chemical gating by latching CL (Wang & Peracchia, 1998*b*).

*Correspondence to:* C. Peracchia To further probe the chemical gating mechanism of

Cx32, our previous study has tested three Cx32 mutants (Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999). One mutant (tandem) is a dimer in which two Cx32 monomers are concatenated NT-to-CT. The other two (5R/E and 5R/N) are mutants in which E or N residues replace five  $CT_1$  residues (R215, R219, R220, R223 and R224). The data showed that heterotypic channels obtained by pairing each of these mutants with Cx32 wild-type display an intriguing *Vj* behavior. In these channels, junctional conductance  $(G_j)$  increased with  $V_j$  gradients positive at the mutant side and decreased with opposite  $V_i$  polarity. Furthermore,  $V_j$  positive at the mutant side partially reversed the  $CO_2$ -induced  $G_j$  drop. These data suggested the existence of a  $V_j$ -sensitive slow gate and the possibility that the slow gate and the chemical gate are the same gate (Peracchia et al., 1999). Based on these data we have proposed a model ("cork" gating model) that envisions chemical and slow gating as being mediated by an acidic cytosolic molecule (calmodulin?) that physically blocks the pore (Peracchia et al., 1999, 2000).

The present study reports that several other Cx32 mutants, modified in  $CL_1$  and/or  $CT_1$  residues, display a similar behavior when paired heterotypically with Cx32. The mutants are: ML/NN+3R/N, 3R/N, ML/NN and ML/EE; in these mutants, N or E residues replace M105 and L106, and N residues replace R215, R219 and R220. More significantly, this study shows that the unusual  $V_i$ behavior and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivity of two of the most effective mutants, tandem (Peracchia et al., 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N is drastically reduced or eliminated when calmodulin (CaM) expression is inhibited with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA. This treatment was previously shown to inhibit  $CO_2$ -induced uncoupling in oocyte pairs expressing Cx38 (Peracchia et al., 1996).

### **Materials and Methods**

### SITE-DIRECTED MUTAGENESIS

Molecular biology protocols were generally as described by Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis (1989). Cx32 cDNA (Paul, 1986) was used in the construction of DNA mutants. The method used for constructing the Cx32 tandem has been previously described (Peracchia et al., 1999). The strategy employed to create the 3R/N, ML/NN and ML/EE mutants of Cx32 has been reported earlier (Wang & Peracchia, 1997). The mutant ML/NN+3R/N was constructed by replacing the COOHterminus of the ML/NN mutant with the COOH-terminus of the 3R/N mutant. All of the mutations were verified by digestion of DNA with restriction enzymes and sequence analysis. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by a DNA synthesizer (model 393; ABI, Foster City, CA).

# PREPARATION OF CRNA

Wild-type and mutated forms of Cx32 cDNA were subcloned into pBluscript (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) or pGEM 3Z (Promega, Madison, WI), and used for in vitro synthesis of cRNA. The cRNAs were transcribed from linearized plasmid using T7 or SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE (Ambion, Austin, TX) in the presence of the cap analogue m7G $(5')$ ppp $(5')$ G (Ambion).

#### OOCYTE PREPARATION AND MICROINJECTION

Oocytes were prepared as previously described (Peracchia et al., 1996). Briefly, adult female *Xenopus laevis* frogs were anesthetized with 0.3% tricaine (MS-222) and the oocytes were surgically removed from the abdominal incision. The oocytes were placed in ND96 medium. Oocytes at stages V or VI were subsequently defolliculated in 2 mg/ml collagenase (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free OR2 for 80 min at room temperature. The defolliculated oocytes were injected with 46nl (0.25  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l) of antisense oligonucleotide complementary to endogenous *Xenopus* Cx38:5'-GCTTTAGTAATTCCCATCCTGC-CATGTTTC-3' (commencing at nt −5 of Cx38 cDNA sequence; Barrio et al., 1991), by means of a Drummond nanoject apparatus (Drummond, Broomall, PA). The antisense oligonucleotide blocks completely the endogenous junctional communication within 48 hr. 24–72 Hours post-injection, 46 nl of either Cx32 wild-type, ML/NN+3R/N, 3R/N, ML/NN or ML/EE cRNA (0.04, 0.43, 0.02, 0.2 and 1.0 μg/μl, respectively) were injected into oocytes at the vegetal pole and the oocytes were incubated overnight at 18°C. The oocytes were mechanically stripped of their vitelline layer in a hypertonic medium (Peracchia et al., 1996) and heterotypically paired (mutant against Cx32) at the vegetal poles in ND96. Oocyte pairs were studied electrophysiologically 2–3 hr after pairing.

For experiments testing the effect of inhibiting CaM expression, oocytes injected 24 hr earlier with oligonucleotides antisense to Cx38 were injected with oligonucleotides antisense to the CaM mRNAs expressed by *Xenopus* oocytes (46 nl, 1.12  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l) as previously described (Peracchia et al., 1996). 4–6 Hr later and on each of two subsequent days the oocytes were injected with tandem or ML/NN+3R/N cRNA  $(0.4$  and  $0.43 \mu g/\mu l$ , respectively). The oocytes were incubated overnight at 18°C, mechanically stripped, heterotypically paired (mutant+anti-CaM against Cx32) and studied electrophysiologically 2–3 hr after pairing.

### UNCOUPLING PROTOCOL

The oocyte chamber was continuously perfused at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min by a peristaltic pump (Dyamax Mod. RP-1, Rainin Instrument, Woburn, MA). The superfusion solution was ejected by a 22 gauge needle placed near the edge of the conical well containing the oocyte pair. The level of the solution in the chamber was maintained constant by continuous suction. Electrical uncoupling of oocyte pairs was induced by a 3–15 min superfusion (0.6 ml/min) of salines continuously gassed with 100%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . A Cl<sup>−</sup> free saline (Cl<sup>−</sup> replaced with methanesulfonate) was used. The Cl<sup>−</sup> free saline contained (in mM): NaOH 75, KOH 10, Ca(OH) $_2$  4, Mg(OH) $_2$  5, MOPS 10, adjusted to pH 7.2 with methane-sulfonic acid. As previously reported (Peracchia et al., 1996), the opening of Ca2+ activated Cl− channels during long exposures to  $100\%$   $CO<sub>2</sub>$  often causes an increase in membrane current that may interfere with  $G_i$  measurement.

# MEASUREMENT OF GAP JUNCTIONAL CONDUCTANCE IN OOCYTE PAIRS

All of the experiments were performed using the standard double voltage clamp procedure for measuring *Gj* (Spray, Harris & Bennett, 1981). Following the insertion of a current and a voltage microelectrode in



**Fig. 1.** Response of junctional current  $(I_j)$  to transjunctional voltage  $(V_j)$  pulses in *Xenopus* oocyte pairs expressing homotypic Cx32 channels (32-32) or heterotypic channels between Cx32 wild-type and each of four Cx32 mutants: ML/NN+3R/N-32, 3R/N-32, ML/NN-32 and ML/EE-32. 32-32 channels (*A*) display a characteristic sensitivity to  $V_j$ , as  $I_j$  decays exponentially with time for  $V_j$ 's  $> \pm 40$  mV. In contrast, mutant-32 channels display a unique *Ij* /*Vj* behavior (*A*). This is most pronounced with the ML/NN+3R/N mutant (*A*). With ML/NN+3R/N side negative (*A,* left trace), as  $V_i$  is increased from 20 to 120 mV initial and final  $I_i$  progressively decrease to very low values, and  $V_i$  sensitivity seems present even at  $V_i$  = 20 mV. With ML/NN+3R/N side positive (*A,* right trace) *Ij* progressively increases to high values, and *Ij* increases rather than decreases from the initial  $I_j$ ; only with  $V_j$ s of 100–200 mV a more conventional behavior starts developing, with  $I_j$  reaching a peak followed by exponential decay. The other three mutants (3R/N, ML/NN and ML/EE) have a similar behavior, but the  $I_j$  asymmetry between positive and negative  $V_j$  protocols is less pronounced (*A*). The asymmetrical  $I_f/V_j$  behavior of mutant-32 channels is obvious in plots of normalized  $G_f/G_{js}/G_{jns}/G_{jms}$  versus  $V_j$  (*B* and *C*). ML/NN+3R/N-32 channels have a very asymmetrical  $I_f/V_j$  behavior (C), whereas with ML/NN-32 or 3R/N-32 channels the  $I_f/V_j$  asymmetry is minimal (*B*). Note that the double mutation (ML/NN+3R/N) generates a very asymmetrical *Ij* /*Vj* behavior (*A* and *C*), whereas individual mutations (ML/NN or 3R/N) result in minimal asymmetry (*A* and *B*). The asymmetrical behavior suggests that *Vj* gradients negative or positive at the mutant side of the channels, progressively closes or opens, respectively, an increasing number of channels.



**Fig. 2.**  $G_j$  response to steady state  $V_j$  gradients in ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*A*), 32-32 (*B*), 3R/N-32 (*C* and *D*), ML/NN-32 (*E* and *F*) and ML/EE-32 (*G*) channels; *G<sub>i</sub>* was measured by the usual pulse protocol (+20 mV voltage pulses of 2 sec duration applied to one oocyte every 10 sec). Exposure of ML/NN+3R/N-32 channels to  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) reversibly increases  $G_i$  by 386  $\pm$  181% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 21$ , *A*) with  $\tau = 0.25$  $\pm$  0.1 min (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 21$ ), whereas exposure to  $V_i = 40$  mV (ML/NN+3R/N side negative) decreases  $G_i$  exponentially to 14.8  $\pm$  5.6% (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 9$ ) of control values with  $\tau = 0.07 \pm 0.02$  min (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 6$ ). In contrast, exposure of 32-32 channels to 40 mV  $V_i$  gradients of either polarity decreases  $G_i$  to 67.7 ± 8.2% (mean ± sp,  $n = 7$ , *B*);  $G_j$  drops rapidly in the first 10–20 sec (*B*, more obvious with the second  $V_j$ application) and then slowly over  $4-5$  min. Rapid drop and slow decay may result from sequential closure of fast and a slow  $V_i$  gates, respectively. The behavior of the other mutant-32 channels (*C–G*) was similar but less pronounced than that of ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*A*). Exposure to  $V_j = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) increases  $G_i$  by 64.4  $\pm$  20.2% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 6$ ) with  $\tau = 0.68 \pm 0.39$  min (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 6$ ) in 3R/N-32 (*C* and *D*), by 27.2  $\pm$  16.2% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 11$ ) with  $\tau = 0.23 \pm 0.12$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 9$ ) in ML/NN-32 (*E* and *F*), and by 167.1  $\pm$  51.8% (mean  $\pm$ sp,  $n = 8$ ) with  $\tau = 0.23 \pm 0.1$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 8$ ) in ML/EE-32 (*G*).  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side negative) decreases  $G_i$  exponentially to 19.8  $\pm$  5.6% (mean  $\pm$  sD, *n* = 5) of control values, with  $\tau$  = 0.36  $\pm$  0.13 min (mean  $\pm$  sD, *n* = 3) in 3R/N-32 (*C* and *D*), to 35.9  $\pm$  11% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 12$ ) with  $\tau = 0.7 \pm 0.46$  min (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 12$ ) in ML/NN-32 (*E* and *F*), and to 31.1  $\pm$  7% (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 7$ ), with  $\tau = 0.15 \pm 0.06$ min (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/EE-32 (*G*). In 3R/N-32 and ML/NN-32 channels, with return to  $V_i = 0$  from  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive)  $G_i$  increases abruptly before dropping (*C* and *E*). This is not observed when  $V_i$  is reversed from positive to negative at mutant side (*D* and *F*). In contrast, with ML/NN+3R/N-32 and ML/EE-32 channels the abrupt increase in  $G_i$  is not observed either in the transition from  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) to  $V_i = 0$  (*A* and *G*) or with  $V_i$  reversal from positive to negative at mutant side (*data not shown*).





each oocyte, both oocytes were initially voltage clamped individually with two oocyte clamp amplifiers (OC-725C, Warner Instrument, Hamden, CT) to the same holding potential,  $V_{m1} = V_{m2}$  (usually –20 mV), so that no junctional current would flow at rest  $(\hat{I}_j = 0)$ . A  $V_j$ 

gradient was created by imposing a  $+20$  mV voltage step ( $V_1$ ) of 2 sec duration every 10 or 30 sec to oocyte 1, while maintaining  $V_2$  at  $V_m$ , thus,  $V_j = V_1$ . The negative feedback current  $(I_2)$ , injected by the clamp amplifier in oocyte 2 for maintaining  $V_2$  constant at  $V_m$ , was used



SUMMARY OF THE EFFECT OF STEADY-STATE V<sub>i</sub>ON G. IN HETEROTYPIC MUTANT-32 CHANNELS

M105E, L106E<br>R215N, R219N, MT./EE: R220N  $3R/N$ : ML/NN: M105N, L106N R215N, R219N, R220N, R223N, R224N  $5R/N$ :



TIME CONSTANTS OF G<sub>i</sub> CHANGE WITH STEADY STATE V<sub>i</sub> IN HETEROTYPIC MUTANT-32 CHANNELS



**Fig. 3.** Comparison of the effect of steady-state  $V_i$  on  $G_i$  in all the mutant-32 channels tested in this and a previous study (Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999) in terms of magnitude (A) and kinetics  $(\tau, B)$ of  $G_i$  change with  $V_i = 40$  mV of either polarity. Note that CT mutations (5R/E, 5R/N and 3R/N) seem to result in longer  $\tau$  than CL (ML/NN and ML/EE) and combined CL-CT mutations (ML/NN+3R/ N). This is true with both positive and negative  $V_i$  for all the mutants except for ML/NN which has an unusually long  $\tau$  at negative  $V_j$ .

for calculating  $G_j$ , as it is identical in magnitude to the junctional current  $(I_j)$ , but of opposite sign  $(I_j = -I_2)$ ;  $G_j = I_j/V_j$ . Pulse generation and data acquisition were performed by means of Clampex 8.0 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and DigiData 1200 interface (Axon).  $I_i$  and  $V_j$  were measured with Clampfit 8.0 (Axon) and the data were plotted with SigmaPlot v. 5.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

For studying voltage dependence of junctional conductance, each oocyte of the pair was first voltage clamped at −20 mV. Voltage steps of 20 mV  $(\pm 120 \text{ mV}$  maximum) and 25 sec duration were then applied every 45 sec to either oocyte of the pair, while maintaining the other at −20 mV. To illustrate the relationship between steady-state *Gj* (*Gjss*) and  $V_j$ , the normalized  $G_j$ ( $G_{jss}/G_{jmax}$ ) was plotted with respect to  $V_j$ . The curve was fitted to a two-state Boltzmann's distribution of the

form:  $(G_{jss} - G_{jmin})/(G_{jmax} - G_{jss}) = exp[-A(V_j - V_0)]$ , where  $V_0$  is the *V<sub>j</sub>* value at which  $G_j$  is one half the value of  $G_{jmax} - G_{jmin}$ ,  $G_{jmax}$  is  $G_j$ at  $V_j = 0$  mV and  $G_{jmin}$  is the theoretical minimum normalized  $G_j$ .  $A = nq/kT$  is a constant expressing voltage sensitivity in terms of number of equivalent gating charges, *n*, moving through the entire applied field, where *q* is the electron charge, *k* is the Boltzmann constant and *T* is the temperature in °K.

### **Results**

## SENSITIVITY TO TRANSJUNCTIONAL VOLTAGE PULSES

Whereas homotypic Cx32 junctions (32–32) displayed a characteristic sensitivity to  $V_j$ , with  $I_j$  decaying almost exponentially with time for  $V_i > \pm 40$  mV (Fig. 1*A*), ML/ NN+3R/N-32 channels displayed a unique *Ij* −*Vj* behavior (Fig. 1A). With ML/NN+3R/N side negative, as  $V_i$  was increased in 20 mV steps from 20 to 120 mV the initial and final *I<sub>i</sub>* progressively decreased to very low conductance values, and the channels appeared to be  $V_i$  sensitive even at the lowest  $V_j$ . With ML/NN+3R/N side positive, as  $V_i$  was increased in 20 mV steps from 20 to 120 mV  $I_i$  progressively increased to high values, and  $I_i$  recorded at the end of the pulse was greater than the initial  $I_j$ . Only at the largest  $V_j$  gradients (100–120 mV) a more conventional behavior started developing, with *I<sub>i</sub>* reaching a peak followed by exponential decay (Fig. 1*A*).

The other three mutants displayed a similar behavior, although the  $I_i$  asymmetry between positive and negative *Vj* protocols was significantly less pronounced. The asymmetrical  $I_j/V_j$  behavior of mutant-32 channels is clearly demonstrated by plotting normalized *Gj* (*Gjss*/  $G_{imax}$ ) versus  $V_i$  (Fig. 1*B* and *C*). Note that the double mutation (ML/NN+3R/N) generates a very asymmetrical *Ij /Vj* behavior (Fig. 1*A* and *C*), whereas with individual mutations (ML/NN or 3R/N) the  $I_j/V_j$  asymmetry is minimal (Fig. 1*A* and *B*). Consistent with previous data from other mutant-32 channels (Peracchia et al., 1999) this intriguing voltage behavior suggested that  $V_i$  gradients negative or positive at the mutant side of the channels, progressively decreased or increased, respectively, the number of functional channels. This idea was tested by subjecting the oocytes to steady state  $V_i$  gradients either positive or negative at mutant side (*see below*).

# EFFECT ON  $G_i$  OF STEADY-STATE  $V_i$

In oocyte pairs expressing ML/NN+3R/N-32 channels, the establishment of  $V_j = 40$  mV (ML/NN+3R/N side positive) increased  $G_i$  almost exponentially by 386  $\pm$ 181% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 21$ ) with  $\tau = 0.25 \pm 0.1$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 21$ , Fig. 2*A*);  $G_i$  was measured by the conventional protocol: +20 mV pulses of 2 sec duration applied to one oocyte every 10 sec. With the reestablishment of  $V_i = 0$  mV from  $V_i = 40$  mV (ML/



**Fig. 4.**  $G_i$  sensitivity to 3 min exposure to 100% CO2 of 32-32, ML/NN+3R/N-32, 3R/N-32, ML/NN-32 and ML/EE-32 channels (*A* and *B*). With 32-32 channels  $G_i$  decreases to only 85  $\pm$ 5% (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 7$ , *A* and *B*) of the control value (100%) at a maximum rate of ∼9%/min. All of the heterotypic channels are more  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -sensitive than 32-32 channels (*A* and *B*).  $G_i$  drops to 0.27  $\pm$ 0.5% (mean  $\pm$  sE,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/NN+3R/N-32, to  $1.16 \pm 0.37\%$  (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 3$ ) in 3R/N-32, to 6.89  $\pm$  1.56% (mean  $\pm$  sE,  $n = 4$ ) in ML/NN-32, and to  $3.18 \pm 1.29\%$  (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/EE channels (*A* and *B*). With ML/NN+3R/N-32 channels *Gj* drops very fast (54%/min; *A*); this makes them the most  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sensitive channels tested thus far in our lab. The other mutant-32 channels uncoupled with the following rates (*A*):  $3R/N-32 = 28.6\%/min$ ,  $ML/NN-32 = 33\%/min$ , and  $ML/EE-32 =$ 43.5%/min. Thus, based on rate and magnitude of uncoupling the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivity of all of these channels ranks as follows (in decreasing order):  $ML/NN+3R/N-32 > ML/EE-32 \geq 3R/N-32 >$ ML/NN-32 > 32-32.

 $NN+3R/N$  side positive)  $G_i$  returned fairly rapidly to control values. In oocyte pairs initially clamped at  $V_i =$ 0 mV, the establishment  $V_i = 40$  mV (ML/NN+3R/N) side negative) decreased  $G_i$  exponentially to  $14.8 \pm 5.6\%$ (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 9$ ) of control values, following exponential decays with  $\tau = 0.07 \pm 0.02$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp, *n*  $= 6$ ).

In contrast, in homotypic 32–32 junctions the application of 40 mV  $V_i$  gradients to either oocyte decreased  $G_i$  to 67.7  $\pm$  8.2% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 7$ , Fig. 2*B*). Upon  $V_i$ application  $G_i$  dropped rapidly in the first 10–20 sec (Fig. 2*B*, more obvious with the second  $V_i$  application) and then slowly over the following 4–5 min, eventually reaching steady state. The rapid drop and the slow decay may result from the sequential closure of the conventional (fast)  $V_i$  gate and a slow  $V_i$  gate, respectively.

The other mutant-32 channels behaved as ML/

NN+3R/N-32 channels, but *Gj* did not change as dramatically. Exposure to  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) increased  $G_i$  by 64.4  $\pm$  20.2% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 6$ ) with  $\tau = 0.68 \pm 0.39$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 6$ ) in 3R/N-32 channels (Fig. 2*C* and *D*), by  $27.2 \pm 16.2\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 11$ ) with  $\tau = 0.23 \pm 0.12$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 9$ ) in ML/NN-32 channels (Fig. 2*E* and *F*), and by 167.1  $\pm$ 51.8% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 8$ ) with  $\tau = 0.23 \pm 0.1$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 8$ ) in ML/EE-32 channels (Fig. 2*G*). In oocyte pairs initially clamped at  $V_i = 0$  mV, the establishment of  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side negative) decreased  $G_i$  exponentially to  $19.8 \pm 5.6\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp, *n*  $= 5$ ) of control values, with  $\tau = 0.36 \pm 0.13$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 3$ ) in 3R/N-32 channels (Fig. 2*C* and *D*), to  $35.9 \pm 11\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 12$ ) with  $\tau = 0.7 \pm 0.46$ min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 12$ ) in ML/NN-32 channels (Fig. 2*E* and *F*), and to 31.1  $\pm$  7% (mean  $\pm$  sD, *n* = 7), with

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. 5.** Effect of  $V_i$  on  $G_i$  during exposure to 100% CO<sub>2</sub> in oocyte pairs expressing ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*A*), 32-32 (*B*), 3R/N-32 (*C*), ML/NN = 32 (*D*) or ML/EE-32 (*E*) channels. In mutant-32 channels,  $G_j$ , reduced to low values by  $CO_2$  at  $V_j = 0$ , increases significantly and reversibly with the application of  $V_j$  gradients positive at the mutant side ( $A$ ,  $C$ – $E$ ). With similar  $V_j$  gradients,  $G_j$  increases less at maximal uncoupling. This reduced effect of  $V_j$  on  $G_j$  at maximum uncoupling suggests that most of the channels might be locked in closed state. Thus, there might be two closed states: one  $V_j$  reversible and the other  $V_j$  irreversible.  $V_j$  negative at the mutant side dramatically and reversibly reduces  $G_j$  to very low values (*A, C–E*). In contrast, with 32-32 channels  $V_j$  gradients of either polarity significantly decrease  $G_j$  (*B*); the magnitude of  $G_j$  drop (*B*) suggest that  $V_j$  affects both fast and slow  $V_j$  gates. The dashed lines in *A–E* indicate the predicted  $G_j$  time course in the absence of  $V_j$  gradients.

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. 5.** *Continued.*

 $\tau = 0.15 \pm 0.06$  min (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/EE-32 channels (Fig. 2*G*).

With 3R/N-32 and ML/NN-32 channels, upon return to  $V_i = 0$  from  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive)  $G_i$ increased abruptly at first, before dropping (Fig. 2*C* and *E*). This, however, was not observed when  $V_i$  was reversed from positive to negative at mutant side (Fig. 2*D* and *F*). In contrast, with ML/NN+3R/N-32 and ML/EE-32 channels the abrupt increase in  $G_i$  was not observed either in the transition from  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) to  $V_i = 0$  (Fig. 2A and *G*) or with  $V_i$  reversal from positive to negative at mutant side (*data not shown*).

Figure 3 compares the effect of  $V_i$  on  $G_i$  for all the mutant-32 channels tested in this and a previous study (Peracchia et al., 1999) in terms of magnitude (Fig. 3*A*) and  $\tau$  (Fig. 3*B*). Note that CT mutations (5R/E, 5R/N and  $3R/N$ ) seem to result in longer  $\tau$  than CL (ML/NN and ML/EE) and combined CL-CT mutations (ML/  $NN+3R/N$ ). This is true for both positive and negative  $V_i$ for all except ML/NN which shows an unusually long  $\tau$ at negative *Vj* .

## CO<sub>2</sub> SENSITIVITY

Homotypic 32-32 channels were weakly sensitive to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , as previously reported (Wang et al., 1996). With a 3 min exposures to  $CO<sub>2</sub> G<sub>j</sub>$  decreased to 85  $\pm$  5% (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 7$ ; Fig. 4A and B), at a maximum rate of ∼9%/min. Mutant-32 channels were much more sensitive to  $CO_2$  than 32-32 channels (Fig. 4A and *B*). With 3 min exposures to  $CO_2$   $G_i$  dropped to  $0.27 \pm 0.5\%$  (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/NN+3R/N-32, to 1.16  $\pm$  0.37% (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 3$ ) in 3R/N-32, to 6.89  $\pm$  1.56% (mean  $\pm$  se, *n* = 4) in ML/NN-32, and to 3.18  $\pm$  1.29% (mean  $\pm$  se,  $n = 3$ ) in ML/EE channels (Fig. 4*A* and *B*).

With ML/NN+3R/N-32 channels  $G_i$  decreased at a very fast rate (54%  $G_i$  drop per min; Fig. 4A), which makes these channels the most  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitive gap junction channels tested thus far in our lab. The other mutant-32 channels uncoupled with the following rates (Fig. 4*A*):  $3R/N-32 = 28.6\%/min$ , ML/NN-32 = 33\%/min, and ML/EE-32 =  $43.5\%$ /min. Thus, based on rate and magnitude of uncoupling the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivity of all of these channels ranked as follows (in decreasing order): ML/NN+3R/N-32 > ML/EE-32 > 3R/N-32 > ML/NN-32  $> 32-32$ .

EFFECT ON *Gj* OF STEADY-STATE *Vj* DURING CO<sub>2</sub>-INDUCED UNCOUPLING

Oocyte pairs expressing mutant-32 or 32-32 channels were subjected to  $V_j$  gradients (40 mV) of either polarity during exposure to 100%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In mutant-32 channels,  $G_j$ , reduced to very low values by exposure to  $CO_2$  at  $V_j$  $= 0$  mV, increased dramatically and reversibly with *V*<sub>j</sub> gradients that made the ML/NN+3R/N (Fig. 5*A*), 3R/N (Fig. 5*C*), ML/NN (Fig. 5*D*) or ML/EE (Fig. 5*E*) side positive by 40 mV. With the same  $V_i$  gradient,  $G_i$  increased more during uncoupling or recovery from uncoupling than when uncoupling was maximal, but the effect of positive  $V_i$  was clearly detectable even when full uncoupling was maintained for 5–10 min (*see* Fig. 5*A* and *C-E:* 2nd, 3rd and 4th  $V_i$  applications). These data indicate that  $V_j$  is able to open some of the mutant-32 channels that had been closed by the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatment.

In contrast,  $V_j$  gradients that made the mutant side negative dramatically and reversibly reduced  $G_i$  virtually to zero (Fig. 5*A* and *C–E*). When a similar protocol was tested on 32-32 channels, the application of 40 mV  $V_i$ gradients of either polarity always resulted in significant *Gj* drop (Fig. 5*B*).

EFFECT OF INHIBITION OF CaM EXPRESSION ON SENSITIVITY TO *Vj* PULSES

For testing the effect of inhibition of CaM expression on  $V_i$  and  $CO_2$  sensitivity, the two most effective Cx32 mutants (tandem and ML/NN+3R/N, Fig. 3*A*) were expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM, and the oocytes were heterotypically paired 24–48 hr later with oocytes expressing Cx32 wild type. CaM mRNA was previously shown to be completely and irreversibly degraded within 5 hr after oocyte injection of oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA (Peracchia et al., 1996). The paired oocytes were tested with the standard  $V_i$  protocol ( $V_i$  steps of 20 mV,  $\pm 120$  mV max., and 25 sec duration, applied every 45 sec).

Figure 6 shows that the asymmetrical  $V_i$  behavior of tandem-32 (Fig. 6*A*, and *see* Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 6*C*) is virtually abolished or greatly reduced, respectively, following inhibition of CaM expression. Indeed, the plots of normalized  $G_j$  ( $G_j/G_{jmax}$ ) versus  $V_j$  for 32-32 and tandem-32 (anti-CaM) channels are almost superimposible (Fig. 6*B*), weren't for a minimal asymmetry of tandem-32 behavior with  $V_i$  positive at tandem side (Fig.  $6B$ ).

EFFECT OF INHIBITION OF CaM EXPRESSION ON SENSITIVITY OF *Gj* TO STEADY-STATE *Vj*

Tandem and ML/NN+3R/N mutants, expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA and tested heterotypically 24–48 hr with Cx32 wild type, were subjected to a steady-state  $V_i = 40$ mV of either polarity. The establishment of  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) increased  $G_i$  by 44.7  $\pm$  64.6% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 24$ ) and  $37.3 \pm 41.5$ % (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n =$ 18) for tandem-32 (Fig. 7*A*) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 7*B*), respectively. This shows that inhibition of CaM expression drastically reduces the effect of  $V_i$  on mutant-32 channels, because in controls (absence of CaM inhibition)  $G_i$  increased by  $262 \pm 64\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 4$ ) and  $386 \pm 181\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 21$ ) for tandem-32 (Fig. 7*A* and see Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999) and ML/ NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 7*B*), respectively. The progressive reduction of the effect of  $V_i$  on  $G_i$  over time is shown in Fig. 7*C* and *D* for tandem-32 and ML/NN+3R/N-32, respectively.

Similarly, the establishment of  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side negative) decreased  $G_i$  only to 53.5  $\pm$  16.7% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 15$ ) and 66.3  $\pm$  19.8% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 13$ ) for tandem-32 (Fig. 7*A*) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 7*B*). In contrast, in controls  $G_i$  decreased to  $16 \pm 10\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 4$ ) and 14.8  $\pm$  5.6% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 9$ ) for tandem-32 (Fig. 7*A*, and *see* Peracchia et al., 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 7*B*), respectively.

The same results were obtained in preliminary experiments testing the mutant 5R/E (Peracchia et al., 1999), expressed in oocytes injected with CaM antisense and tested heterotypically with Cx32 wild type. With 5R/E-32 channels,  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) increased  $G_i$  by 15.3  $\pm$  22% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 4$ ), whereas in controls (absence of CaM inhibition) it increased  $G_i$  by  $182 \pm 50\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 5$ ). Similarly,  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side negative) decreased  $G_i$  to 25.3  $\pm$  13% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 3$ ), whereas in controls (absence of CaM inhibition) it decreased  $G_i$  to  $14.8 \pm 3.3\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp, *n*  $= 4$ .

EFFECT OF INHIBITION OF CaM EXPRESSION ON *Gj* SENSITIVITY TO  $V_j$  during  $CO_2$ -Induced Uncoupling

Tandem and ML/NN+3R/N mutants, expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA and heterotypically paired 24–48 hr later with oocytes expressing Cx32, were subjected to  $V_i$  gradients (40 mV) of different polarity during exposure to 100%  $CO_2$ . Whereas in controls  $G_j$ , reduced to very low values by exposure to  $CO_2$  at  $V_i = 0$  mV, increased dramatically and reversibly with  $V_i$  gradients that made

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. 6.** Effect of inhibition of CaM expression on channel sensitivity to  $V_j$  pulses, presented as normalized  $G_j$  *vs.*  $V_j$ . Tandem and ML/NN+3R/N mutants were expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM, heterotypically paired 24–48 hrs later with oocytes expressing Cx32, and tested with the conventional  $V_j$  protocol:  $V_j$  steps of 20 mV,  $\pm 120$  mV maximum and 25 sec duration applied every 45 sec. Note that the asymmetrical *Vj* behavior of tandem-32 (*A*, and *see*: Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*C*) is virtually abolished or greatly reduced, respectively, with inhibition of CaM expression. Tandem-32 (anti-CaM) and 32-32 channels behave almost identically (*B*), weren't for a slight asymmetry of tandem-32 at  $V_j$  positive at tandem side (*B*).

the tandem or ML/NN+3R/N side positive by 40 mV (Figs. 8*A* and 5*A*, respectively), following inhibition of CaM expression  $V_i$  positive at mutant side decreased  $G_i$ in tandem-32 channels (Fig. 8*B*) and had virtually no effect on ML/NN+3R/N channels (Fig. 8*C*).

Similarly to controls (Figs. 8A and 5A)  $V_i$  negative at

mutant side decreased  $G_i$  in both tandem-32 (Fig. 8*B*) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (Fig. 8*C*) channels. With both mutants the effect of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on  $G<sub>j</sub>$  was minimal following inhibition of CaM expression (Fig. 8*B* and *C*). This confirms data previously reported on oocytes expressing Cx38 (Peracchia et al., 1996).

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

FOLLOWING CaM EXPRESSION INHIBITION

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

DECAY OF THE EFFECT OF V. ON G. IN TANDEM-32 JUNCTIONS DECAY OF THE EFFECT OF V. ON G. IN ML/NN+5R/N-32 JUNCTIONS FOLLOWING CaM EXPRESSION INHIBITION

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

**Fig. 7.** Effect of inhibition of CaM expression on channel sensitivity to steady-state  $V_i = \pm 40$  mV. Tandem and ML/NN+3R/N mutants, expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA and heterotypically paired 24–48 hr later with oocytes expressing Cx32, were subjected to a steady-state  $V_i = 40$  mV, either positive or negative at mutant side.  $V_i = 40$  mV (mutant side positive) increases  $G_i$  by 44.7  $\pm$  64.6% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 24$ ) and 37.3  $\pm$  41.5% (mean  $\pm$  sD,  $n = 18$ ) in tandem-32 (*A*) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*B*), respectively. In contrast, in controls (absence of CaM inhibition)  $G_i$  increases by 262  $\pm$  64% (mean  $\pm$  sp, *n* = 4) and 386  $\pm$  181% (mean  $\pm$  sp, *n* = 21) for tandem-32 (*A* and *see* Peracchia, Wang, & Peracchia, 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*B*), respectively. The progressive reduction of the effect of *Vj* on *Gj* over time is shown in *C* and *D* for tandem-32 and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (two oocyte pairs), respectively. Similarly,  $V_j = 40$  mV (mutant side negative) decreases  $G_i$  only to 53.5  $\pm$  16.7% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 15$ ) and 66.3  $\pm$  19.8% (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 13$ ) for tandem-32 (*A*) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*B*), respectively, whereas in controls (absence of CaM inhibition) it decreases  $G_i$  to  $16 \pm 10\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 4$ ) and  $14.8 \pm 5.6\%$  (mean  $\pm$  sp,  $n = 9$ ) for tandem-32 (*A*, and *see* Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999) and ML/NN+3R/N-32 (*B*), respectively.

# **Discussion**

This study reports that oocyte pairs expressing heterotypic channels between Cx32 mutants and Cx32 wild type display a unique  $V_i$  behavior and increased  $CO_2$ sensitivity. With  $V_j$  positive at the mutant side,  $G_j$  dramatically increases, whereas with  $V_i$  negative  $G_i$  decreases to nearly zero. Furthermore,  $V_i$  positive at mutant side reopens channels closed by  $100\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>, whereas  $V_i$  negative closes virtually all the channels. Significantly, the effect of these mutations is virtually eliminated with inhibition of CaM expression.

The  $V_i$  behavior of these channels made of CL and/ or CT mutants is similar to that of channels made of tandem and other CT mutants (5R/E and 5R/N) of Cx32 (Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 1999), suggesting that it is not a peculiar characteristic of CT mutations. As proposed for tandem, 5R/E and 5R/N mutants (Peracchia et al., 1999), this behavior is believed to reflect a channel gating mechanism mediated by a  $V_j$ -sensitive slow gate,

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. 8.** Effect of inhibition of CaM expression on channel sensitivity to steady-state  $V_i$  during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -induced uncoupling. Tandem and ML/NN+3R/N mutants, expressed in oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA and heterotypically paired 24–48 hr later with oocytes expressing Cx32, were exposed to  $V_j$  gradients (40 mV) of different polarity during exposure to 100%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In controls (absence of CaM inhibition)  $G_j$ , reduced by  $CO_2$ at  $V_i = 0$  mV, increases dramatically with  $V_i$ positive at tandem (*A*) or ML/NN+3R/N (Fig. 5*A*) side. In contrast, following CaM inhibition  $V_i$ positive at mutant side decreases  $G_i$  in tandem-32 (*B*) and has virtually no effect on ML/NN+3R/N channels (*C*). Similarly to controls (*A* and Fig. 5*A*)  $V_i$  negative at mutant side decreases  $G_i$  in both tandem-32 (*B*) and ML/NN+3R/N (*C*) channels. With both mutants the effect of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on  $G<sub>i</sub>$  is minimal with inhibition of CaM expression (*B* and *C*), as reported earlier for oocytes expressing Cx38 (Peracchia et al., 1996).

distinct from the conventional (fast)  $V_i$  gate. Based on the  $V_i$  behavior of these mutant channels in the presence of  $CO_2$  we have proposed that the chemical gate is  $V_i$ sensitive and that the chemical gate and slow  $V_i$  gate are the same (Peracchia et al., 1999). Voltage sensitivity of chemical gating was also reported in insect cells, but insect channels were sensitive to  $V_m$  rather than  $V_i$ (Weingart & Bukauskas, 1998). The slow gate may also be active in Cx32 wild type, because in homotypic 32-32 channels the  $G_i$  decay with steady-state  $V_i$  has a fast and a slow component, suggesting that also in these channels both fast and slow  $V_i$  gates are activated. Moreover, with 32-32 channels  $V_i$  gradients of 40 mV, applied during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exposure, decrease  $G<sub>i</sub>$  much more than one would predict if the fast  $V_i$  gate were the only player, because the fast  $V_i$  gate of Cx32 is relatively insensitive to  $V_i$ gradients <50–60 mV.

Aside from the significant diversity among the mu-

tants tested in this and the previous study (Peracchia et al., 1999) in magnitude of  $V_j$  effect on  $G_j$ , there are also significant differences in the kinetics of  $G_i$  change. Based on the  $\tau$  values, tandem and CT mutants (5R/E, 5R/N and 3R/N) have slower kinetics than either the CL mutants (ML/NN, ML/EE) or the mutant with combined CL and CT mutations (ML/NN+3R/N). The reason for this phenomenon is unclear. If indeed the slow gating involves the pore plugging action of an accessory molecule ("cork" gating model; Peracchia et al., 2000), this could mean that the gating molecule (CaM?) moves faster in and out of the pore with CL than CT mutations.

With two of the mutants (3R/N and ML/NN) an abrupt increase in  $G_i$  was observed upon return to  $V_i$  = 0 from  $V_i$  positive at the mutant side. This was previously noticed with tandem-32, 5R/E-32 and 5R/N-32 channels (Peracchia et al., 1999), and was believed to reflect the activity of the fast  $V_i$  gate of Cx32. Since the fast  $V_i$  gate of  $Cx32$  closes with negative potentials (Verselis, Ginter & Bargiello, 1994), the abrupt increase in  $G_i$  may mark the reopening of the fast  $V_i$  gate of Cx32. Indeed, the increase in  $G_j$  is absent with  $V_j$  reversal from positive to negative at mutant side, as the opening of the fast  $V_i$  gate of Cx32 is masked by the closing of the fast  $V_i$  gate of the mutant hemichannel. However, the faster closing kinetics of the slow gate with  $V_i$  reversal may also contribute in masking the opening of the fast Cx32 gate. This may be the reason why with mutants displaying shorter  $\tau$  (ML/NN+3R/N and ML/EE) the abrupt increase in  $G_i$  is not observed.

Mutant-32 channels were more sensitive to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ than homotypic 32-32 channels, suggesting that these mutations facilitate the chemical gating mechanism either by weakening  $CL_1-CT_1$  interactions or by rendering the pore more accessible to a gating element. Significantly, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivity of mutant-32 channels matches well the magnitude of slow gating asymmetry. The channels most sensitive to slow  $V_i$  gating (ML/  $NN+3R/N-32$ ) are also the most sensitive to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (with 3 min  $CO_2$ ,  $G_i$  drops to 0% at a maximum rate of 54%/ min). Similarly, the least  $V_j$ -sensitive channels (5R/N-32) are also the least  $CO_2$  sensitive (with 3 min  $CO_2$ ,  $G_i$ drops to 37.5% at a maximum rate of 21%/min; Peracchia et al., 1999). This rule applies to all of the mutant-32 channels except for tandem-32, which are relatively more sensitive to  $V_i$  than to  $CO_2$  (Peracchia et al., 1999). The general correspondence between  $CO_2$  and slow  $V_i$ sensitivities is consistent with the idea that chemical gate and slow  $V_i$  gate are the same.

As previously reported (Peracchia et al., 1999), there are several reasons to believe that slow gate and fast  $V_i$ gate are distinct gates. One is the much slower kinetics of the slow gate. Another is the fact that negative  $V_j$ 's as low as 40 mV close most of the mutant-32 channels, whereas for the fast  $V_i$  gate a residual conductance of 20–25% remains even with  $V_i$  gradients as high as 120

mV (Bukauskas & Peracchia, 1997). The distinct function of the two gates is also revealed by the abrupt increase in  $G_i$  with return to  $V_i = 0$  from  $V_i$  positive at the mutant side, which marks the reopening of the fast  $V_i$ gate, whereas the close relationship between slow gate and chemical gate is demonstrated by evidence that positive  $V_i$  reopens channels closed by  $CO_2$ . Finally, most relevant additional evidence is provided by the behavior of heterotypic channels between Cx26 wild-type a Cx26 mutant (4pos/E) in which, as in 5R/E, all of the four basic residues of CT were mutated to E (R215E, K220E, K222E and R223E); 4pos/E-26 channels behaved as 5R/ E-32 channels when exposed to  $V_j$  (Peracchia, Wang & Peracchia, 2000), in spite of the fact that the fast  $V_i$  gates of Cx26 and Cx32 are sensitive to opposite  $V_i$  polarities (Verselis et al., 1994).

The idea that slow gate and chemical gate are the same is further supported by the observation that the unusual behavior of the most sensitive mutant-32 channels (ML/NN+3R/N-32 and tandem-32) is virtually normalized by inhibition of CaM expression. In oocytes previously injected with oligonucleotides antisense to CaM mRNA, these mutants lost almost completely their asymmetrical  $V_i$  sensitivity and became virtually insensitive to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , as previously observed in oocytes expressing Cx38 (Peracchia et al., 1996). These two mutants were selected for this study because they displayed the most pronounced asymmetry of slow  $V_i$  gating sensitivity. Although ML/NN+3R/N is a fairly conventional mutant that is not expected to alter significantly the connexon architecture, this may be questionable for the tandem. As previously discussed (Peracchia et al., 1999), the architecture of tandems in connexons is hard to predict and in the absence of structural information the possibility that only one of the two monomers of a tandem is normally inserted in the membrane cannot be ruled out. However, this is of little relevance to the message of this and the previous study (Peracchia et al., 1999), because our work was not aimed at correlating the behavior of tandem channels to the tandem structure; the tandem was used primarily as a tool. In any event, since the tandem performed like six other more conventional mutants and was able to make heterotypic channels it is unlikely that its conformation is that unusual. Furthermore, in addition to tandem and ML/NN+3R/N, preliminary data indicate that with inhibition of CaM expression also heterotypic channels between the mutant 5R/E (Peracchia et al., 1999) and Cx32 almost completely lose both their asymmetrical  $V_i$  sensitivity and their abnormal  $V_i$  behavior during  $CO_2$  treatment.

The possible participation of CaM in channel gating was previously suggested by evidence that CaM inhibitors (Peracchia et al., 1981, 1983; Peracchia, 1984, 1987) and inhibition of CaM expression (Peracchia et al., 1996) prevent  $CO_2$ -induced uncoupling. This was also supported by data for CaM binding to Cx32 (Hertzberg & Gilula, 1981; Van Eldik et al., 1985; Zimmer et al., 1987; Török, Stauffer & Evans, 1997). Two potential CaM binding sites have been identified in Cx32: one at the NH<sub>2</sub>-terminus and the other at the base of the COOHterminus (Peracchia, 1988). The CaM binding capacity of synthetic peptides matching identified COOHterminal sequences of Cx32, Cx38 and Cx43 has been demonstrated by spectrofluorometry and circular dichroism spectroscopy (Girsch & Peracchia, 1992; Peracchia & Shen, 1993) and by the ability of a fluorescent CaM derivative to interact with the identified  $NH<sub>2</sub>-$  and COOH-terminal domains of Cx32 (Török, Stauffer & Evans, 1997).

Based on past and present evidence for CaM involvement in connexin function we have proposed a model ("cork" gating model) that envisions chemical gating as the result of interaction between CaM or a CaM lobe and the cytoplasmic mouth of the channel (Peracchia et al., 1999, 2000). Evidence from the  $V_i$  behavior of mutant-32 channels suggests that the gating mechanisms may involve the slow displacement in and out of the pore of a sizable negatively charged molecule. Since the CaM lobes and the cytoplasmic mouth of the channel (Perkins, Goodenough & Sosinsky, 1997) are ∼25Å in diameter and have opposite charge characteristics, a direct interaction between the two structures is conceivable. In Cx32 the deletion of 84% of the COOHterminus does not affect  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gating (Werner et al., 1991; Wang & Peracchia, 1997), so that a "ball-and-chain" model as that proposed for Cx43 (Liu et al., 1993; Ek-Vitorin et al., 1996; Morley, Taffet & Delmar 1996) is unlikely.

In conclusion, data on chemical and voltage gating characteristics of Cx32 mutants expressed heterotypically with  $Cx32$  wild type indicate that  $V_i$  gradients activate a slow gating mechanism distinct from the conventional  $V_i$  gating mechanism. The slow gate opens at relatively positive  $V_j$  and closes at negative  $V_j$ , following exponential courses with long time constants. In addition,  $V_i$  positive at the mutant side reopens channels closed by  $CO_2$ , suggesting that chemical gate and slow  $V_i$ gate are the same. The slow gate could be a pluglike acidic cytosolic molecule (cork-gating model; Peracchia et al., 2000). Based on the finding that blockage of CaM expression strongly inhibits both slow gating and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ induced gating, and based on the size and surface charge of CaM lobes and channel mouth, CaM is likely to be the gating element. This is not unreasonable because in recent years the direct involvement of CaM in the function of many other membrane channels has been demonstrated (Saimi, Ling & Kung, 1994; Menegazzi et al., 1996; Lan, Brereton, Barritt, 1998; Fanger et al., 1999; Lee et al., 1999; Xia et al., 1998; Zühlke et al., 1999; Peterson et al., 1999; Qin et al., 1999). Evidence for CaM participation in both slow  $V_j$ -sensitive gating and

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -induced gating of gap junction channels confirms the original hypothesis for a pivotal role of cytosolic free-Ca<sup>2+</sup> in gap junction regulation (Loewenstein, 1996; Rose & Loewenstein, 1975).

The authors are grateful to Dr. Eric C. Beyer (Washington University) for providing the cDNA clone for the rat liver connexin32. This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, grant GM20113.

# **References**

- Barrio, L.C., Suchyna, T., Bargiello, T., Xu, L.X., Roginski, R.S., Bennett, M.V.L., Nicholson, B.J. 1991. Gap junctions formed by connexins 26 and 32 alone and in combination are differently affected by applied voltage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **88:**8410– 8414
- Bergoffen, J., Scherer, S.S., Wang, S., Scott, M.O., Bone, L.J., Paul, D.L., Chen, K., Lensch, M.W., Chance, P.F., Fischbeck, K.H. 1993. Connexin mutations in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *Science* **262:**2039–2042
- Bruzzone, R., White, T.W., Paul, D.L. 1996. Connections with connexins: the molecular basis of direct intercellular signaling. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **238:**1–27
- Bukauskas, F.F., Peracchia, C. 1997. Two distinct gating mechanisms in gap junction channels: CO<sub>2</sub>-sensitive and voltage-sensitive. *Biophys. J.* **72:**2137–2142
- Ek-Vitorin, J.F., Calero, G., Morley, G.E., Coombs, W., Tafet, S.M., Delmar, M. 1996. pH regulation of connexin43: molecular analysis of the gating particle. *Biophys. J.* **71:**1273–1284
- Fanger, C.M., Ghanshani, S., Logsdon, N.J., Rauer, H., Kalman, K., Zhou, J.M., Beckingham, K., Chandy, K.G., Cahalan, M.D., Aiyar, J. 1999. Calmodulin mediates calcium-dependent activation of the intermediate conductance K<sub>Ca</sub> channel, *IKCa1. J. Biol. Chem.* **274:**5746–5754
- Girsch, S.J., Peracchia, C. 1992. Calmodulin binding sites in connexins. *Biophys. J.* **61:**A506
- Hertzberg, E.L., Gilula, N.B. 1981. Liver gap junctions and lens fiber junctions: comparative analysis and calmodulin interaction. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **46:**639–645
- Ionasescu, V., Searby, C., Barritt, R. 1994. Point mutations of the connexin32 (GJB1) gene in X-linked dominant Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **3:**355–358
- Lan, L., Brereton, H., Barritt, G.J. 1998. The role of calmodulinbinding sites in the regulation of the *Drosophila* TRPL cation channel expressed in *Xenopus* laevis oocytes by Ca<sup>2+</sup>, inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate and GTP-binding proteins. *Biochem J.* **330:**1149– 1158
- Lee, A., Wong, S.T., Gallagher, D., Li, B., Storm, D.R., Scheuer, T., Catterall, W.A. 1999.  $Ca^{2+}/c$ almodulin binds to and modulates P/Qtype calcium channels. *Nature* **399:**155–159
- Liu, S., Taffet, S., Stoner, L., Delmar, M., Vallano, M.L., Jalife, J. 1993. A structural basis for the unequal sensitivity of the major cardiac and liver gap junctions to intracellular acidification: The carboxyl tail length. *Biophys. J.* **64:**1422–1433
- Loewenstein, W.R. 1966. Permeability of membrane junctions. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **137:**441–472
- Loewenstein, W.R. 1990. Cell-to-cell communication and the control of growth. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **142:**S48–S53
- Menegazzi, P., Larini, F., Treves, S., Guerrini, R., Quadroni, M., Zorzato, F. 1994. Identification and characterization of three calmodulin binding sites of the skeletal muscle ryanodine receptor. *Biochemistry* **33:**9078–9084
- Morley, G.E., Taffet, S.M., Delmar, M. 1996. Intramolecular interac-

tions mediate pH regulation of connexin43 channels. *Biophys. J.* **70:**1294–1302

- Paul, D.L. 1986. Molecular cloning of cDNA for rat liver gap junction protein. *J. Cell Biol.* **103:**123–134
- Peracchia, C. 1984. Communicating junctions and calmodulin: inhibition of electrical uncoupling in *Xenopus* embryo by calmidazolium. *J. Membrane Biol.* **81:**49–58
- Peracchia, C. 1987. Calmodulin-like proteins and communicating junctions. Electrical uncoupling of crayfish septate axons is inhibited by the calmodulin inhibitor W7 and is not affected by cyclic nucleotides. *Pfluegers Arch.* **408:**379–385
- Peracchia, C. 1988. The Calmodulin hypothesis for gap junction regulation six years later. *In:* Gap Junctions. E.L. Hertzberg and R.G. Johnson, editor. pp. 267–282. Modern Cell Biology Series. Vol. VII. Alan R. Liss, New York
- Peracchia, C., Bernardini, G., Peracchia, L.L. 1981. A calmodulin inhibitor prevents gap junction crystallization and electrical uncoupling. *J. Cell Biol.* **9:**124*a*
- Peracchia, C., Bernardini, G., Peracchia, L.L. 1983. Is calmodulin involved in the regulation of gap junction permeability? *Pfluegers Arch.* **399:**152–154
- Peracchia, C., Lazrak, A., Peracchia, L.L. 1994. Molecular models of channel interaction and gating in gap junctions. *In:* Handbook of Membrane Channels — Molecular and Cellular Physiology. C. Peracchia, editor. pp. 361–377. Academic Press, San Diego
- Peracchia, C., Shen, L. 1993. Gap junction channel reconstitution in artificial bilayers and evidence for calmodulin binding sites in MIP26 and connexins from heart, liver and *Xenopus* embryo. *In:* Gap Junctions. J.E. Hall, G.A. Zampighi, and R.M. Davis, editors. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. *Prog. Cell Res.* **3:**163–170
- Peracchia, C., Wang, X.G. 1997. Connexin domains relevant to the chemical gating of gap junction channels. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* **30:**577–590
- Peracchia, C., Wang, X., Li, L., Peracchia, L.L. 1996. Inhibition of calmodulin expression prevents low-pH-induced gap junction uncoupling in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Pfluegers Arch.* **431:**379–387
- Peracchia, C., Wang, X., Peracchia, L.L. 1999. Is the chemical gate of connexins voltage sensitive? Behavior of Cx32 wild-type and mutant channels. *Am. J. Physiol.* **276:**C1361–C1373
- Peracchia, C., Wang, X.G., Peracchia, L.L. 2000. Behavior of chemical and slow voltage-sensitive gates of gap junction channels — the "cork" gating hypothesis. *In:* Gap Junctions — Molecular Basis of Cell Communication in Health and Disease. C. Peracchia, editor. Current Topics in Membranes **49:**271–295. Academic Press, San Diego
- Perkins, G.A., Goodenough, D.A., Sosinsky, G.E. 1997. Threedimensional structure of the gap junction connexon. *Biophys. J.* **72:**533–544
- Peterson, B.Z., DeMaria, C.D., Adelman, J.P., Yue, D.T. 1999. Calmodulin is the  $Ca^{2+}$  sensor for  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent inactivation of 1-type calcium channels. *Neuron* **22:**549–558
- Qin, N., Olcese, R., Bransby, M., Lin, T., Birnbaumer, L. 1999. Ca<sup>2+</sup>induced inhibition of the cardiac  $Ca^{2+}$  channel depends on calmodulin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96:**2435–2438
- Ressot, C., Gomès, D., Dautigny, A., Pham-Dinh, D., Bruzzone, R. 1998. Connexin32 mutations associated with x-linked Charcot-

Marie-Tooth disease show two distinct behaviors: Loss of function and altered gating properties. *J. Neurosci.* **18:**4063–4075

- Rose, B., Loewenstein, W.R. 1975. Permeability of cell junction depends on local cytoplasmic calcium activity. *Nature* **254:**250–252
- Saimi, Y., Ling, K.-Y., Kung, C. 1994. Calmodulin-sensitive channels. *In:* Handbook of Membrane Channels. Molecular and Cellular Physiology. C. Peracchia, editor. pp 435–443. Academic Press. San Diego
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E., Maniatis, T. 1989. Molecular Cloning. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York
- Spray, D.C., Harris, A.L., Bennett, M.V.L. 1981. Equilibrium properties of a voltage-dependent junctional conductance. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **77:**77–93
- Török, K., Stauffer, K., Evans, W.H. 1997. Connexin 32 of gap junctions contains two cytoplasmic calmodulin-binding domains. *Biochem. J.* **326:**479–483
- Van Eldik, L.J., Hertzberg, E.L., Berdan, R.C., Gilula, N.B. 1985. Interaction of calmodulin and other calcium-modulated proteins with mammalian and arthropod junctional membrane proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **126:**825–832
- Verselis, V.K., Ginter, C.S., Bargiello, T.A. 1994. Opposite voltage gating polarities of two closely related connexins. *Nature* **368:**348– 351
- Wang, X.G., Li, L.Q., Peracchia, L.L., Peracchia, C. 1996. Chimeric evidence for a role of the connexin cytoplasmic loop in gap junction channel gating. *Pfluegers Arch.* **431:**844–852
- Wang, X.G., Peracchia, C. 1996. Connexin32/38 chimeras suggest a role for the second half of the inner loop in gap junction gating by low pH. *Am. J. Physiol.* **271:**C1743–C1749
- Wang, X.G., Peracchia, C. 1997. Positive charges of the initial Cterminus domain of Cx32 inhibit gap junction gating sensitivity to CO2. *Biophys. J.* **73:**798–806
- Wang, X.G., Peracchia, C. 1998*a*. Molecular dissection of a basic COOH-terminal domain of Cx32 that inhibits gap junction gating sensitivity. *Am. J. Physiol.* **275:**C1384–C1390
- Wang, X.G., Peracchia, C. 1998*b*. Domains of connexin32 relevant to CO<sub>2</sub>-induced channel gating. *In:* Gap Junctions. R. Werner, editor. pp 35–39. IOS Press. Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Weingart, R., Bukauskas, F.F. 1998. Long-chain n-alkanols and arachidonic acid interfere with the  $V_m$ -sensitive gating mechanism of gap junction channels. *Pfluegers Arch.* **435:**310–319
- Werner, R., Levine, E., Rabadan-Diehl, C., Dahl, G. 1991. Gating properties of connexin32 cell-cell channels and their mutants expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **243:**5–11
- Xia, X.M., Fakler, B., Rivard, A., Wayman, G., Johnson-Pais, T., Keen, J.E., Ishii, T., Hirschberg, B., Bond, C.T., Lutsenko, S., Maylie, J., Adelman, J.P. 1998. Mechanism of calcium gating in smallconductance calcium-activated potassium channels. *Nature* **395:** 503–507
- Zimmer, D.B., Green, C.R., Evans, W.E., Gilula, N.B. 1987. Topological analysis of the major protein in isolated intact rat liver gap junctions and gap junction-derived single membrane structures. *J. Biol. Chem.* **262:**7751–7763
- Zühlke, R.D., Pitt, G.S., Deisseroth, K., Tsien, R.W., Reuter, H. 1999. Calmodulin supports both inactivation and facilitation of L-type calcium channels. *Nature* **399:**159–162

# *Note Added in Proof*

Recent evidence for a drastic increase in chemical gating sensitivity of homotypic Cx32 channels with expression of CaMCC ( a CaM mutant with higher overall  $Ca^{2+}$  binding affinity), for an effect of CaMCC on  $V_i$  gating and for the colocalization of CaM and Cx32 at junctional sites, indicates that CaM is involved in chemical gating of Cx32 channels through a direct interaction with the connexin. Peracchia, C., Sotkis, A., Wang, X.G., Peracchia, L.L., Persechini, A. 2000. Calmodulin directly gates gap junction channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* **34:**26220–26224